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ABSTRACT
Despite the prevalence of caffeine as an ergogenic aid, few studies have examined the use of caffeinated gums, especially during half-time in team sports. The physiological (blood lactate, salivary hormone concentrations) and performance (repeated sprints, cognitive function) effects of consuming caffeine gum during a simulated half-time were examined. Professional academy rugby union players (n=14) completed this double-blind, randomized, counterbalanced study. Following pre-exercise measurements, players chewed a placebo (PL) gum for five min before a standardized warm-up and completing repeated sprint testing (RSSA1). Thereafter, during a 15 min simulated half-time period, players chewed either caffeine (CAF: 400 mg; 4.1 ± 0.5 mg·kg⁻¹) or PL gum for five min before completing a second repeated sprint test (RSSA2). Blood lactate, salivary testosterone and cortisol concentrations, and indices of cognitive function (i.e., reaction time and Stroop test) were measured at baseline, pre-RSSA1, post-RSSA1, pre-RSSA2 and post-RSSA2. Sprint performance was not affected by CAF (P=0.995) despite slower sprint times following the first sprint of both RSSA tests (all P<0.002). Following half-time, salivary testosterone increased by 70% (+97±58 pg·mL⁻¹) in CAF versus PLA (P<0.001) whereas salivary cortisol remained unchanged (P=0.307). Cognitive performance was unaffected by time and trial (all P>0.05). Although performance effects were absent, chewing caffeine gum increased the salivary testosterone concentrations of professional rugby union players over a simulated half-time. Practitioners may therefore choose to recommend caffeine gum between successive exercise bouts due to the increases in salivary testosterone observed; a variable associated with increased motivation and high-intensity exercise performance.
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INTRODUCTION

Rugby union is a high-intensity and intermittent collision sport requiring players to repeatedly accelerate from one phase of play to another to compete for possession (9). Matches typically are played over two 40-min halves that are each separated by a 10-15 minute half-time break. As intermittent sports players have been reported in some studies to demonstrate reduced exercise intensities in the time immediately following half-time relative to the initial stages of a match (17), half-time has been proposed as an opportunity to optimize subsequent performance (23). Notably, nutritional intake is a key component of current half-time practices (30).

Caffeine is a widely used ergogenic aid that benefits physical (8, 12) and cognitive (29) indices of team sport performance. When absorbed by the lower gastro-intestinal tract, caffeine exerts its effect via a number of mechanisms including: adenosine receptor antagonism, enhanced glycolytic flux, increased sarcoplasmic reticulum calcium handling, attenuated interstitial potassium accumulation and hormonal stimulation (1, 7, 19). In the case of the latter, Beaven et al. (1) attributed the ergogenic effects of caffeine to its testosterone raising abilities. Notably, acute increases in pre-exercise testosterone concentrations have been reported to enhance high-intensity performance thereafter, including game outcomes in rugby union (11) and possibly relates to an increased motivational effect (2, 3) and/or a direct effect on the nervous system (16).

Peak concentrations of caffeine and/or its metabolites are generally realised within one and three hours of ingestion when the mechanisms of action are reliant upon
absorption via the lower gastrointestinal tract (18). In the last decade, the ergogenic effects of caffeine have also been attributed to the antagonism of receptors in the upper gastrointestinal tract facilitating a central modulation of motor unit activity, adenosine receptor stimulation (14) and augmented endocrine function (21). Notably, caffeinated chewing gums have become commercially available and have been associated with significantly faster absorption times when compared to a traditional pill-based administration modality (15).

Ryan et al. (24) observed improved cycling performance when caffeinated gum containing 300 mg of caffeine was provided five minutes before exercise. Interestingly, providing the same dose of caffeinated gum 60 and 120 min prior to the start of exercise negated the ergogenic effects observed. Similarly, Paton et al. identified that 3 mg·kg⁻¹ of caffeine delivered by chewing gum delayed fatigue during repeated sprint cycling (21). Despite very few studies having investigated the effects of this novel method of caffeine delivery, early evidence suggests that caffeinated gum may benefit the performance of intermittent team sports players. The time-course of effects of action of caffeinated gums mean that they could plausibly be consumed during half-time in team sports; however, this has yet to be examined. The aim of this study was therefore to examine the performance, physiological and cognitive effects of caffeine gum consumed during a simulated half-time period in team sports players.
METHODS

Experimental approach to the problem
A randomized, placebo-controlled, counterbalanced, crossover study design was used to examine the effects of caffeine gum consumed during a simulated half-time period that separated repeated sprint bouts. Following pre-exercise measurements, players chewed a placebo (PL) gum for five min before completing a standardized warm-up and repeated sprint testing (RSSA1). Thereafter, during a 15 min simulated half-time period, players chewed either caffeine (CAF: 400 mg; 4.1 ± 0.5 mg·kg\(^{-1}\)) or PL gum for five min before completing a second repeated sprint test (RSSA2). Blood lactate, salivary testosterone and cortisol concentrations, and indices of cognitive function (i.e., reaction time and Stroop test) were measured at baseline, pre-RSSA1, post-RSSA1, pre-RSSA2 and post-RSSA2. Each trial was separated by a 7-14 day period and was completed at least 48 h after any competitive match.

Subjects
Data is presented for 14 professional male academy rugby players (age: 18 ± 1 years; height: 1.83 ± 0.07 m; weight: 98.6 ± 10.9 kg) who played for a Welsh Rugby Union regional academy during the 2014/2015 season. The study required players to provide informed consent prior to participation (parental consent where aged <18 years) and conformed to the Code of Ethics of the World Medical Association (approved by the ethics advisory board of Swansea University). All players were considered healthy and injury-free at the time of the study and were three months into their competitive calendar. Players were recruited on the basis that they had been engaged in a full time
professional rugby training program for at least two years and were able to complete each of the performance assessments with correct technique.

Procedures
Following familiarization of procedures and quantification of habitual caffeine intake (191 ± 138 mg·d⁻¹), players presented to the laboratory after having followed a standardized dietary intake (including refraining from prior caffeine use on the day of testing) as directed by a performance nutritionist. The activity in the 48 h period before main trial testing included a single training session that lasted no longer than 60 min and started at ~10:30 h. These sessions typically required a channel warm-up (including dynamic stretches and short sprints), technical drills and tactical practices to be performed and were characterized as low volume and low intensity. Players were advised to rest in the afternoons following training.

Upon arrival for the main trials, and following voiding of bladder and bowels, player’s height and body mass were obtained before saliva and capillary blood was sampled and cognitive testing performed (baseline). In order to control for the potential impact of chewing on salivary bio-markers, players then chewed four pieces of placebo (PLA) chewing gum for five min followed by a 10 min rest period. Players provided a second saliva and capillary blood sample before completing further cognitive function tests (Pre-RSSA1).

A standardized ~20 min warm-up (including light running, dynamic stretching, speed preparation exercises and running drills) on an indoor synthetic running track preceded performance of the first repeated sprint test (RSSA1). Thereafter, saliva and capillary
blood was sampled again prior to further cognitive testing (Post-RSSA1). A simulated half-time then followed whereby players chewed four pieces of chewing gum for five min at the start of the break before saliva and capillary blood was sampled and cognitive function assessed (Pre-RSSA2). The time between measurements obtained at Post-RSSA1 and Pre-RSSA2 was kept as close to 15 min as possible in an attempt to replicate a typical half-time that occurs during team-sport events (23). A two min re-warm up (included similar running drills as the initial warm up) preceded the second repeated sprint test (RSSA2). Thereafter, saliva and blood samples were obtained and cognitive tests performed (Post-RSSA2) before players performed a standardized cool down. To minimize circadian variation effects, measurement timing was consistent between trials.

*Repeated Sprint Testing*

The repeated sprint test used required 6 x 40 m (with a 180° turn at 20 m) timed sprints (Brower timing systems; Draper, Utah, USA) with 20 s active recovery between each attempt (13). Using a single setup and staggered start times, players performed the repeated sprint protocol in pairs in an order which remained consistent between trials. Each sprint was initiated by a “go” command following a three second count-down by a test administrator. Players were encouraged to sprint towards a cone placed two metres beyond the finish line in order to minimize inadvertent deceleration on approach to the 40 m mark. Repeated sprint performance was determined using individual sprint times.
Measurement of Blood Lactate and Salivary Hormones

Capillary blood samples were obtained singularly using a safety lancet (Safe-T-Pro Plus, Accu-Chek) and measured instantly using a portable lactate analyzer (Lactate Pro, Arkray, Inc., Tokyo, Japan). Saliva collection required passive drooling (~2 ml) into a sterile vial (SalivaBio, Salimetrics LLC, USA) after refraining from brushing of teeth, drinking hot fluids or eating hard foods in the two hours before sampling. All samples were stored at -80°C within four hours of collection and cooled in a portable ice-chiller until freezer deposition. Post thawing, centrifugation (Micro Centaur, MSE, London, United Kingdom; five min at 3000 revolutions·min⁻¹) preceded duplicate analysis of testosterone and cortisol concentrations (indirect enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay kits; Salimetrics Europe Ltd., Suffolk, UK). The lowest detection limits for testosterone and cortisol were 6.1 pg·mL⁻¹ and 0.012 μg·dL⁻¹ respectively, and inter-assay CV values were <10.0%.

Cognitive Function Testing

A simple reaction time test was completed on a laptop which measured the reaction time to visual stimuli with varying delay intervals. A total of 10 attempts were completed per test and mean reaction time was determined. The Stroop test was completed on a laptop and the percentage of correct answers to congruent and incongruent conditions were subsequently determined. The participant completed 27 responses per time point including congruent and incongruent conditions (25). Outlier detection during cognitive function analysis was classed as data that was four standard deviations away from the player’s mean and was therefore removed from all statistical analysis (4).
Nutritional Interventions

Players consumed a caffeinated (CAF) or a flavor-matched placebo (PLA) gum throughout the simulated half-time in a double-blind, randomized, counterbalanced and cross-over fashion. Trial randomization was carried out using a Latin-square design and gum pellets were provided to players by an independent person who was not involved in the main trial testing; thus a concealed allocation was used. In order to control for the potential impact of chewing on salivary bio-markers, all players chewed (for five min and subsequently expectorated) four pellets of PLA gum prior to the first repeated sprint test in both trials. During half-time, four pellets of intervention gum were provided and chewed for five min before expectoration. CAF and PLA chewing gums were identical in shape, color, texture and taste and were professionally formulated (Stay Alert, MarketRight Inc. USA). Players consumed 400 mg of caffeine (i.e., four 100 mg pellets; 4.1 ± 0.5 mg·kg⁻¹) during half-time in CAF. When asked at the end of the second trial, players were unable to distinguish between CAF and PLA.

Statistical Analysis

All data is presented as mean ± SD and an alpha level of P≤0.05 denoted significance. For parametric data (confirmed by normality and variance assessments), paired sample t-tests were performed for single time-point data. For parametric data expressed over multiple time-points (i.e., sprint and cognitive performance, and physiological variables), two-way repeated measures analyses of variance (within-participant factors: treatment × time) were performed (SPSS v20, Chicago, IL). Where significant interactions were observed, supplementation was deemed to have influenced responses and simple main effects were performed using LSD corrections as necessary.
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RESULTS

Repeated Sprint Testing

Individual sprint times are presented in Figure 1. Half-time caffeine ingestion had no effect on sprint performance (time x trial interaction: $F_{(11,143)}=0.231$, $P=0.995$, partial-$\eta^2=0.017$) whereas exercise did (time effect: $F_{(5,58)}=54.354$, $P<0.001$, partial-$\eta^2=0.807$). Sprint times increased after the first attempt in both RSSA tests (all $P<0.002$). Notably, the first sprint of RSSA2 (i.e., sprint 7) was 3% (+0.21 s) slower than the opening sprint of RSSA1 ($P=0.002$).

***** INSERT FIGURE 1 NEAR HERE *****

Physiological Responses

Exercise influenced blood lactate concentrations (time effect: $F_{(2,23)}=286.950$, $P<0.001$, partial-$\eta^2=0.957$) with significant increases ($P<0.001$) from baseline ($1.3 \pm 0.6 \text{ mmol·L}^{-1}$) for all values collected after the post-RSSA1 time-point ($13.1 \pm 1.6 \text{ mmol·L}^{-1}$). Notably mean lactate concentrations at pre-RSSA2 were still elevated above baseline ($9.3 \pm 3.8 \text{ mmol·L}^{-1}$; $P<0.001$) and post-RSSA2 values were $13.7 \pm 1.9 \text{ mmol·L}^{-1}$. Half-time caffeine ingestion had no effect on blood lactate concentrations (time x trial interaction: $F_{(2,20)}=0.181$, $P=0.778$, partial-$\eta^2=0.014$).

Half-time caffeine ingestion influenced salivary testosterone responses to exercise (time x trial interaction: $F_{(3,32)}=12.070$, $P<0.001$, partial-$\eta^2=0.481$; Figure 2A, B) with
values at pre-RSSA2 being 70% (97 ± 58 pg·mL⁻¹) greater in CAF versus PLA (P<0.001). Individual responses to the half-time consumption of CAF are presented in Figure 2B. No further between-trial effects were observed. Likewise, salivary testosterone also increased throughout exercise (time effect: F(4,52)=15.123, P<0.001, partial-eta²=0.538) with values post-RSSA2 being 38% (55 pg·mL⁻¹) greater than baseline values (P<0.001). No differences were observed between baseline and pre-RSSA1 (P=0.569).

***** INSERT FIGURE 2 NEAR HERE *****

Exercise influenced salivary cortisol concentrations (time effect: F(2,24)=51.864, P<0.001, partial-eta²=0.800; Figure 2C) with significant increases from baseline occurring at the pre-RSSA2 (+81%, +0.148 μg·dL⁻¹) and post-RSSA2 (+126%, + 0.231 μg·dL⁻¹) time-points (both P<0.001). Half-time caffeine ingestion had no effect on salivary cortisol concentrations (time x trial interaction: F(2,22)=1.226, P=0.307, partial-eta²=0.086).

Cognitive Function Testing

Reaction time was not affected by trial (time x trial interaction: F(2,31)=0.731, P=0.510, partial-eta²=0.053) or time (time effect: F(2,32)=2.940, P=0.058, partial-eta²=0.184) with mean results being 282 ± 57 ms (Table 1). Likewise, percent correct answers on the incongruent (time x trial interaction: F(4,52)=1.257, P=0.299, partial-eta²=0.088; time effect: F(4,52)=0.347, P=0.845, partial-eta²=0.026) and congruent (time x trial interaction: F(4,52)=0.858, P=0.495, partial-eta²=0.062; time effect: F(4,52)=1.109,
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P=0.362, partial-\(\eta^2=0.079\) aspects of the Stroop test were not influenced over the
duration of the trials being 93 ± 7% and 94 ± 8%, respectively (Table 1).

***** INSERT TABLE 1 NEAR HERE *****
DISCUSSION

The primary aim of this study was to examine the performance, physiological, and cognitive effects of caffeine gum when consumed by professional academy rugby players throughout a simulated half-time period. Our findings indicated that salivary testosterone concentrations were elevated above placebo values at the onset of a second repeated sprint bout following consumption of \( 4.1 \pm 0.5 \text{ mg·kg}^{-1} \) of caffeine in gum form. While no subsequent performance effects were observed, and no between-trial differences existed for blood lactate, salivary cortisol, or cognitive function, practitioners may wish to consider using caffeinated gum throughout half-time due to substantial increases in salivary testosterone over half-time; a variable previously associated with increased voluntary motivational effects and subsequent high-intensity exercise performance in strong (i.e., maximal squat exceeding twice body mass) individuals.

Caffeine ingestion has been reported to improve intermittent sprint performance by some (12) but not all (6, 20) authors. In this study, 400 mg of caffeine, equivalent to \( 4.1 \pm 0.5 \text{ mg·kg}^{-1} \), did not improve performance in a repeated sprint test performed shortly after (Figure 1). Although the exact reasons to explain the absence of performance improvements are difficult to ascertain, it is worth noting that the time between consumption and the onset of exercise appears to mediate the ergogenic effects of caffeinated gum (24). Notably, although sampling resolution could be improved, durations of longer than five min between consumption and the start of cycling exercise negated benefits to performance (24). As gums were chewed in the first five min of half-time, a period of \(~10\) min would have elapsed prior to the onset of
exercise. It is plausible that the study design used here was not optimal to capturing the window of ergogenic effect. However, this remains to be confirmed with a timing study that better explores the efficacy of caffeine gum consumption.

The findings of increased salivary testosterone concentrations in CAF support those of a previous study (1) but are the first to be reported following the half-time administration of caffeine in chewing gum form. Notably, despite similar mean testosterone concentrations (i.e., $\sim 161 \text{ pg} \cdot \text{mL}^{-1}$) between conditions immediately before half-time (i.e., post-RSSA1), a 70% (i.e., $+97 \pm 58 \text{ pg} \cdot \text{mL}^{-1}$; Figure 2A) difference in values was realized in the majority of players (Figure 2B) $\sim 15 \text{ min}$ later after chewing CAF gum; a finding independent from the action of chewing itself as no changes were observed when PLA gums were consumed at the start of each trial. As discussed by Paton et al. (21), the magnitude and speed of such a change warrants further investigation as it appears to support the premise that testosterone can be elevated by mechanisms other than the classical hypothalamus–pituitary–gonadal axis which typically demonstrates a lag phase of $\sim 40 \text{ min}$ from stimulation to systemic testosterone appearance (28). Notably, albeit in rats, direct neural links have been identified between the para-ventricular nucleus of the hypothalamus and the testes (26). Our data could be interpreted to further support the idea that the rapid increase in testosterone could have been elicited via a direct neural pathway.

Free testosterone is a strong individual predictor of subsequent exercise performance in individuals with relatively high strength levels (i.e., maximum squat $> 2 \times \text{body mass}$) but a poor predictor in less strong (maximum squat $< 1.9 \times \text{body mass}$) individuals (5).
Accordingly, the apparent disconnect between the elevated testosterone concentrations observed over half-time in CAF and subsequent repeated sprint performance may be explained by the fact that although the players were professional youth rugby players engaged in full-time training and competition, compared to their senior counterparts they would be considered to be less strong. Unfortunately strength data is not available to further explore this speculation. Nevertheless, a finding of a 70% increase in testosterone concentrations over a 15 min period may have important implications for practitioners irrespective of the strength of the participant; especially, as testosterone influences subsequent exercise motivation (3) and event outcome (11).

A novel aspect of this study was the administration of the 400 mg caffeine dose via a commercially available chewing gum throughout a simulated half-time period. As reported by Paton et al. (21), the caffeine chewing gum used was not associated with any symptoms of gastrointestinal distress. Therefore, despite an absence of performance-enhancing effects in this study, chewing gum appears to be a convenient mode of administering caffeine; especially during times such as half-time whereby opportunities to ingest caffeine by traditional means (i.e., pills, drinks etc.) may be limited.

The use of the RSSA test in this study may be questioned; however, the use of such a protocol is representative of previous half-time research (22) and standardizes the physiological demands elicited between repeated trials; thus enhancing the repeatability of exercise responses (as demonstrated by pre-intervention data from RSSA1). Moreover, repeated sprint ability is associated with activity rates during
actual match-play in rugby union players (27) and the pattern of blood lactate concentrations, a surrogate marker of exercise intensity, aligns with previous authors (10). Likewise, the depressed performance at the onset of RSSA2 relative to the opening sprint of RSSA1 supports team sport literature (17) and demonstrates further that primarily passive half-time practices are not sufficient to rescue performance and/or physiological responses (i.e., blood lactate concentrations) back to the level of a comparable time-point in the first half. The dose of caffeine used in this study (400 mg; 4.1 ± 0.5 mg·kg⁻¹) may also have contributed to the absence of performance-enhancing effects but the safety and logistical implications of chewing more than four pellets of gum at once had to be considered. Likewise, it is plausible that the effects of supplementation were mediated by habitual caffeine intake (i.e., 191 ± 138 mg·d⁻¹) and the requirement to abstain from caffeinated products in the immediate presampling period. Nevertheless, while acknowledging the impact of these limitations, applied practitioners should consider the use of caffeine gum towards the end of the half-time period; partly due to the possible ergogenic effect that a 70% increase in salivary testosterone could have thereafter.
PRACTICAL APPLICATIONS

This data adds to the developing body of literature related to both the performance and physiological responses elicited following administration of half-time interventions in team sports players. Practitioners and coaches should be cognisant of the fact that a simulated 15 min half-time was not sufficient to rescue the level of repeated sprint performance observed in the opening sprints of a prior exercise bout. Moreover, chewing caffeinated gum provides a practical and logistically feasible method of administering caffeine; especially in times when traditional methods of supplementing this ergogenic aid (e.g., pills and drinks) may not be appropriate. Although physical and cognitive performance indices were not influenced by caffeine gum, practitioners seeking enhanced testosterone concentrations should consider recommending caffeinated gum to their players. Further research is required to optimize the use of caffeinated gums (e.g., dose, timing etc.) during the half-time period.
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FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1: Mean ± SD 40 m sprint times throughout the caffeine (CAF; dashed line, black markers) and placebo (PLA; solid line, hollow markers) gum trials. HT represents half-time.
Figure 2: Mean ± SD salivary testosterone (panel A) and cortisol (panel C) concentrations throughout the caffeine (CAF; dashed line, black markers) and placebo (PLA; solid line, hollow markers) gum trials. Panel B represents individual half-time responses to the CAF trial (dashed line represents mean response). Shaded region represents timing of gum intake. * represents significant between-trial difference.
Table 1: Mean ± SD simple reaction time (RT) and Stroop test percentages of correct answers in incongruent and congruent conditions throughout caffeine (CAF) and placebo (PLA) trials.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>Pre-RSSA1</th>
<th>Post-RSSA1</th>
<th>Pre-RSSA2</th>
<th>Post-RSSA2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Incongruent (%)</td>
<td>PLA</td>
<td>94 ± 8</td>
<td>94 ± 7</td>
<td>94 ± 8</td>
<td>93 ± 8</td>
<td>93 ± 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CAF</td>
<td>94 ± 6</td>
<td>91 ± 11</td>
<td>92 ± 6</td>
<td>94 ± 8</td>
<td>95 ± 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Congruent (%)</td>
<td>PLA</td>
<td>90 ± 12</td>
<td>94 ± 6</td>
<td>96 ± 6</td>
<td>93 ± 9</td>
<td>94 ± 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CAF</td>
<td>94 ± 6</td>
<td>94 ± 8</td>
<td>95 ± 6</td>
<td>97 ± 7</td>
<td>94 ± 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Simple RT (ms)</td>
<td>PLA</td>
<td>274 ± 34</td>
<td>274 ±</td>
<td>298 ± 60</td>
<td>302 ±</td>
<td>294 ± 62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CAF</td>
<td>274 ± 67</td>
<td>266 ±</td>
<td>274 ± 50</td>
<td>299 ±</td>
<td>263 ± 44</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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