



© 2019, the authors. This is an Open Access article, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution license Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0
<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>

Hills, S., Barrett, S., Feltbower, R., Barwood, M., Radcliffe, J., Cooke, C., Kilduff, L., Cook, C. J., & Russell, M. (2019). A match-day analysis of the movement profiles of substitutes from a professional soccer club before and after pitch-entry. *PLOS One*.

Title: A match-day analysis of the movement profiles of substitutes from a professional soccer club before and after pitch-entry

Short Title: Movement profiles of soccer substitutes

Authors: Samuel P. Hills¹, Steve Barrett², Richard G. Feltbower³, Martin J. Barwood¹,
Jon N. Radcliffe¹, Carlton B. Cooke¹, Liam P. Kilduff^{4,5}, Christian J.
Cook^{4,5,6}, and Mark Russell^{1*}

¹ School of Social and Health Sciences, Leeds Trinity University, Leeds, United Kingdom.

² Sport Medicine and Science Department, Hull City Tigers FC, Kingston Upon Hull, United Kingdom

³ Department of Clinical & Population Sciences, School of Medicine, University of Leeds, Leeds, United Kingdom

⁴ Applied Sports Technology, Exercise Medicine Research Centre (A-STEM), Swansea University, Swansea, United Kingdom.

⁵ Welsh Institute of Performance Science, College of Engineering, Swansea University, Swansea, United Kingdom.

⁶ UC Research Institute for Sport & Exercise, University of Canberra, Canberra, Australia

*Corresponding author: Professor Mark Russell

m.russell@leedstrinity.ac.uk;

Title: A match-day analysis of the movement profiles of substitutes from a professional soccer club before and after pitch-entry

Short Title: Movement profiles of soccer substitutes

Abstract

Whilst the movement demands of players completing a whole soccer match have been well-documented, comparable information relating to substitutes is sparse. Therefore, this study profiled the match-day physical activities performed by soccer substitutes, focusing separately on the pre and post pitch-entry periods. Seventeen English Championship soccer players were monitored using 10 Hz Micromechanical Electrical Systems (MEMS) devices during 13 matches in which they participated as substitutes (35 observations). Twenty physical variables were examined and data were organised by bouts of warm-up activity (pre pitch-entry), and five min epochs of match-play (post pitch-entry). Linear mixed modelling assessed the influence of time (i.e., 'bout' and 'epoch'), playing position, and match scoreline. Substitutes performed 3 ± 1 rewarm-up bouts·player⁻¹·match⁻¹. Compared to the initial warm-up, each rewarm-up was shorter (-19.7 to -22.9 min) and elicited less distance (-606 to -741 m), whilst relative total distances were higher (+26 to +69 m·min⁻¹). Relative total (+13.4 m·min⁻¹) and high-speed (+0.4 m·min⁻¹) distances covered during rewarm-ups increased ($p < 0.001$) with proximity to pitch-entry. Players covered more (+3.2 m; $p = 0.047$) high-speed distance per rewarm-up when the assessed team was losing compared with when winning at the time of pitch-entry. For 10 out of 20 variables measured after pitch-entry, values reduced from 0-5 min thereafter, and substitutes covered greater ($p < 0.05$) total (+67 to +93 m) and high-speed (+14 to +33 m) distances during the first five min of match-play versus all subsequent epochs. Midfielders covered more distance (+41 m) per five min epoch than both attackers ($p < 0.001$) and defenders ($p = 0.016$). Acknowledging the limitations of a solely movement data approach and the potential influence of other match-specific factors, such findings provide novel insights into the match-day demands faced by substitute soccer players. Future research opportunities exist to better understand the match-day practices of this population.

Introduction

Soccer match-day squads include a number of substitutes, with whom managers may replace members of the starting team during a match [1]. English Football (soccer) League rules currently permit up to three substitutions from a maximum of six nominated players [2]. Substitutes are typically introduced to offset the effects of fatigue, change tactics, or replace injured/underperforming players [3]; although other motivations may exist (e.g., allowing playing time for youth players or those returning from injury: [4]). Whilst situational variables (i.e., league-type, match scoreline) may also influence substitute timing, substitutions typically occur after at least 45 min of match-play [3, 5], with midfielders being the most common replacement [5].

Soccer-specific exercise compromises indices of physical and technical performance throughout 90 min [6-8], responses which appear exacerbated during matches requiring extra-time [9-11]. Notably, for players starting a match, high-speed running (HSR; typically defined as moving at a speed >5.5 m·s⁻¹), a commonly-used indicator of physical performance in soccer; and one that may discriminate between playing levels [6], reduces progressively during match-play [6, 12]. As strategic substitutions often represent a means by which coaches/managers seek to attenuate fatigue-induced deteriorations in physical performance [3, 4], the efficacy of this strategy remains to be confirmed. Indeed, although other motivations may underpin the decision to make a replacement (e.g., technical/tactical considerations), it has been proposed that for a substitution to be deemed effective from a work-rate perspective, substitutes entering the field of play need to achieve or surpass the running speeds of players being replaced and/or remaining on the pitch [3].

English Premier League substitutes have demonstrated a trend towards increasing total distance (TD) and HSR over successive five min periods following introduction [3], thus possibly suggesting either conservative self-pacing strategies or questioning the efficacy of pre pitch-entry preparations.

However, as the match epochs used for analysis were determined relative to kick-off (e.g., data from a player introduced at 57 min would not register until the next five min epoch; 60-65 min), such responses could have been influenced by the potential omission of the moments immediately

following players' introduction. Conversely, substitutes entering the pitch at half-time or during the second-half appear able to exceed the relative ($\text{m}\cdot\text{min}^{-1}$) TD and HSR performed by players who started a match (i.e., players remaining on the pitch or those being replaced: [3, 6, 13]). Whilst substitutes may perform more HSR than during the equivalent second-half period when the same players complete a full-match, they appear unable to exceed the HSR performed during the first-half of matches that they start [3, 13, 14], despite it being assumed that substitutes enter the pitch in a state free from substantially accumulated acute fatigue. Acknowledging the likely influence of match-specific contextual variables (e.g., scoreline, opposition quality, potential differences in playing formation etc.) on the movement profiles observed, such observations may call into question whether the pre pitch-entry strategies employed by soccer substitutes facilitate optimal performance following match introduction, especially given players' and coaches' desire for substitutes to make an immediate and sustained impact on the match [4].

Because substitutes typically face lengthy delays (often ≥ 75 min) between cessation of the initial warm-up (i.e., preparatory activities performed prior to kick-off) and their entry onto the pitch [3, 5], punctuated by only brief bouts of rewarm-up activity [4], their actions during this period are of particular interest if preparedness for match-introduction is to be optimised. However, despite the direct relevance for subsequent match performance, we are unaware of any study that has profiled the specific preparatory activities undertaken by soccer substitutes. Therefore, the dual objectives of this research were to investigate both substitutes' pre pitch-entry activities, and their physical performance responses following introduction into the match.

Materials and methods

Experimental approach

Following specific project approval from the School of Social and Health Sciences sub-committee of the Leeds Trinity University ethics board (SSHS-2017-077), professional male players ($n = 17$; age:

25±8 years; stature: 1.80±0.09 m; body mass: 85.2±8.6 kg) from an English Championship soccer club (representing the second tier of professional soccer in the United Kingdom) were monitored throughout 13 home league matches in which they participated as substitutes during the latter half of the 2017/18 competitive season. Data represents those players who were introduced at half-time or during the second-half of a match (i.e., not from unused substitutes, or enforced injury replacements made during the first-half) and included three defenders, seven forwards and seven midfielders, who each undertook four football-specific and gym-based training sessions in addition to one-to-two 90 min matches per week. Given the observational nature of the study, no attempt was made to influence players' responses, and activity monitoring was routinely required as part of their employment. Written informed consent was achieved and a total of 35 performance observations (16, 14, and 5 observations from midfielders, attackers, and defenders, respectively; 2±2 matches·player⁻¹; range: 1-6 matches·player⁻¹) were included.

Activity monitoring

Players' movements were captured by 10 Hz Micromechanical Electrical Systems (MEMS; S5, Optimeye; Catapult Innovations, Melbourne, Australia) units worn between the scapulae in a specifically designed vest. A combination of Global Positioning Systems and accelerometer-derived variables were profiled. MEMS sampling at 10 Hz have demonstrated acceptable reliability (coefficient of variation; CV% = 2.0-5.3%) for measuring instantaneous velocity [15], and the specific units used demonstrated small-to-moderate typical error of the estimate (1.87-1.95%) versus a radar gun when assessing sprinting speed [16]. Similarly, no significant differences between criterion values and MEMS-derived measures of TD were observed during a team sport-specific circuit [17], whilst very large or near-perfect correlations ($r = 0.89-0.91$) were reported for peak speed [17]. Notably, at all speeds examined (1-8 m·s⁻¹), CV% less than or similar to the smallest worthwhile change in performance (0.2 multiplied by the between-participant standard deviation [18]) have been observed for constant velocity, acceleration, and deceleration during straight line running [15]. The accelerometers within the devices have also demonstrated good intra (CV%= 0.9-1.1%) and inter-unit

(CV%= 1.0-1.1) reliability in both laboratory and field test environments [19]. Players wore the same units in each match and were filmed (50 Hz; GX1; JVC; Yokohama; Japan) prior to pitch-entry.

In accordance with manufacturer's guidelines, the MEMS units were activated outdoors and ~30 min prior to the initial warm-up, whilst raw data were exported post-match (Sprint 5.1.7, Catapult Innovations, Melbourne, Australia). Table 1 defines the MEMS-derived variables profiled. Data were organised on an individual player basis, and were classified into periods according to each bout of warm-up activity performed (pre pitch-entry) and into five min epochs from the moment a player entered the pitch (post pitch-entry). For each substitution, contextual information relating to match scoreline, playing position, and the timing of introduction was also recorded.

Table 1: Operational definition for Micromechanical Electrical Systems (MEMS)-derived outcome variables

Measurement	Variable	Definition
Distance covered	Total (m)	Total amount of distance covered by any means
	Relative total ($\text{m}\cdot\text{min}^{-1}$)	Total amount of distance covered per min
	Low-speed running (m)	Distance covered at a speed of $\leq 4 \text{ m}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$
	Relative low-speed running ($\text{m}\cdot\text{min}^{-1}$)	Distance covered per min at a speed of $\leq 4 \text{ m}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$
	Moderate-speed running (m)	Distance covered at a speed of >4 to $\leq 5.5 \text{ m}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$
	Relative moderate-speed running ($\text{m}\cdot\text{min}^{-1}$)	Distance covered per min at a speed of >4 to $\leq 5.5 \text{ m}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$
	High-speed running (m)	Distance covered at a speed of >5.5 to $\leq 7 \text{ m}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$
	Relative high-speed running ($\text{m}\cdot\text{min}^{-1}$)	Distance covered per min at a speed of >5.5 to $\leq 7 \text{ m}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$
	Sprinting (m)	Distance covered at a speed of $>7 \text{ m}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$
Relative sprinting ($\text{m}\cdot\text{min}^{-1}$)	Distance covered per min at a speed $>7 \text{ m}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$	
Player Load	Absolute (AU)	Quantification of external workload: Square root of the summed rates of change in instantaneous velocity in each of the three (forwards, sideways, upwards) vectors, divided by a scaling factor of 100
	Relative ($\text{AU}\cdot\text{min}^{-1}$)	Player load accumulated over X number of min, divided by X number of min
Acceleration/deceleration count	High-intensity accelerations (#)	Count of the number of accelerations $>3 \text{ m}\cdot\text{s}^{-2}$ for a period of $\geq 0.4 \text{ s}$
	High-speed decelerations (#)	Count of the number of decelerations $<-3 \text{ m}\cdot\text{s}^{-2}$ for a period of $\geq 0.4 \text{ s}$
	Moderate-speed accelerations (#)	Count of the number of accelerations >2 to $\leq 3 \text{ m}\cdot\text{s}^{-2}$ for a period of $\geq 0.4 \text{ s}$
	Moderate-speed decelerations (#)	Count of the number of decelerations <-2 to $\geq -3 \text{ m}\cdot\text{s}^{-2}$ for a period of $\geq 0.4 \text{ s}$
Acceleration/deceleration distance	High-speed acceleration (m)	Distance covered whilst accelerating at $>3 \text{ m}\cdot\text{s}^{-2}$
	High-speed deceleration (m)	Distance covered whilst decelerating at $<-3 \text{ m}\cdot\text{s}^{-2}$
	Moderate-speed acceleration (m)	Distance covered whilst accelerating at >2 to $\leq 3 \text{ m}\cdot\text{s}^{-2}$
	Moderate-speed deceleration (m)	Distance covered whilst decelerating at <-2 to $\geq -3 \text{ m}\cdot\text{s}^{-2}$
Time	Duration (min)	Length of time for any given period

AU: Arbitrary units, #: Count, MEMS: Micromechanical Electrical Systems.

1 **Statistical analyses**

2 To account for the interdependence of data arising through repeated observations across multiple
3 matches, linear mixed modelling was conducted to differentiate outcome variables as a function of
4 time. ‘Match’ and ‘player’ were entered as random effects, whilst playing position and match
5 scoreline at the time of introduction were specified as fixed categorical variables. Time (i.e., ‘epoch’
6 or ‘bout’) was modelled first as a continuous, and then categorical (fixed) variable to allow
7 comparisons with a baseline reference, for which the first time-period (i.e. initial warm-up or 0-5 min
8 for pre and post pitch-entry data, respectively) was used. For the fixed effect of position, midfielders
9 were used as baseline, whilst for the scoreline variable, the team being ahead in a match was specified
10 as the reference category. For each outcome measure, a variance components model with no
11 predictors was established before sequentially allowing intercepts and then slopes to vary. A
12 combination of random slopes and intercepts were employed based upon Bayesian information
13 criterion assessments of model fit. For ‘count’ data, responses were transformed to the incidence rate
14 ratio (IRR) scale and analysed via mixed-effects Poisson regression. Analyses were conducted using
15 StataCorp; 2017, Stata Statistical Software Release 15, College Station, TX: StataCorp LLC. Data
16 below are presented as mean±standard deviation (SD), whilst magnitude of change is demonstrated by
17 effect estimates (or IRR for ‘count’ variables), with associated 95% confidence intervals.

18

19 **Results**

20 All three replacements were utilised in 12 out of 13 matches and the mean timing of the first, second
21 and third substitutions were 59±9, 71±10, and 77±10 min, respectively. Video footage indicted that
22 substitutes’ initial (pre-match) warm-ups were conducted separately from the starting players and
23 began with dynamic stretching (~10 min) followed by possession games (~10 min) and passing
24 sequences (~6 min) before returning to the changing rooms ~15 min before kick-off. The initial
25 warm-up remained consistent across all matches profiled. Following kick-off, substitutes mostly

26 remained seated; occasionally standing to perform rewarm-up activity (3 ± 1 rewarm-up
27 bouts·player·match⁻¹). The team won four, drew three, and lost six of the 13 matches, scoring and
28 conceding a total of 16 and 13 goals, respectively. In 13 of the 35 substitutions observed, a player
29 entered the pitch when the team was leading (in terms of match scoreline) in the match. In a further 13
30 instances a substitution was made when the team was behind, whilst the remaining nine substitutes
31 were introduced when the scores were level. The mean scoreline was 1 ± 1 goal scored and 1 ± 1 goal
32 conceded at the time of pitch-entry for each of the first, second, and third substitutions, respectively.
33 There were nine occasions when the team goal differential (i.e., goals scored minus goals conceded)
34 improved during the time between a substitution being made and the end of the match. The goal
35 differential became less favourable following seven of the substitutions, and 19 instances were
36 observed in which the difference between the two teams was the same after 90 min when compared
37 with the time of pitch-entry.

38 Tables 2 and 3 detail the pre pitch-entry activities performed. Each rewarm-up was shorter than the
39 initial warm-up, and TD, Player load (PL) low-speed running distances (LSR) and the number of
40 moderate-speed accelerations ($\#M_{ACC}$) were also lower for rewarm-ups. However, relative TD, PL,
41 and LSR during each rewarm-up exceeded initial warm-up values. Relative HSR during the first ($p =$
42 0.345) and half-time ($p = 0.194$) rewarm-up bouts was similar to the initial warm-up, but relative HSR
43 was significantly higher for all second-half rewarm-ups compared with the initial warm-up. Effect
44 estimates indicated significant decreases in duration, absolute TD, absolute LSR, and absolute PL for
45 each successive bout of pre pitch-entry activity performed. However, increases were observed for
46 absolute and relative moderate-speed running distance (MSR) and HSR, relative TD, relative LSR,
47 and relative PL, as well as distance covered whilst decelerating at high ($H_{DECdist}$), and moderate
48 ($M_{DECdist}$) speeds. In addition, increases in the number of $\#H_{ACC}$, $\#M_{ACC}$, $\#H_{DEC}$, and $\#M_{DEC}$ were
49 observed as proximity to pitch-entry neared. Scoreline at the time of pitch-entry influenced the
50 amount HSR performed during rewarm-up activity, with players covering an additional 3.17 m of
51 HSR per rewarm-up bout ($p = 0.047$, CI: +0.04 to +6.31 m) when the team was losing at the time of

52 introduction, when compared with when the team was ahead. Scoreline did not influence any other
53 variable prior to pitch-entry.

Table 2: Descriptive statistics for physical performance variables for substitutes prior to pitch-entry

Variable	Initial warm-up (n=35)	RWU1 (n=34 first- half, n=1 second- half)	Half-time warm- up (n=27)	RWU2 (n=6 first- half, n=22 second- half)	RWU3 (n=1 first- half, n=7 second- half)	RWU4 (n=2 second-half)	
Duration (min)	26.25 ± 2.43	6.51 ± 2.39 ^b	5.51 ± 2.31 ^b	5.96 ± 3.74 ^b	3.14 ± 1.68 ^b	3.23 ± 0.39 ^b	
TD	Absolute (m)	992 ± 218	386 ± 143 ^b	423 ± 170 ^b	428 ± 286 ^b	229 ± 93 ^b	321 ± 44 ^b
	Relative (m·min ⁻¹)	37.9 ± 7.8	64.3 ± 23.5 ^b	83.0 ± 30.3 ^b	80.2 ± 28.9 ^b	89.3 ± 40.2 ^b	99.5 ± 1.6 ^b
LSR	Absolute (m)	963 ± 210	369 ± 131 ^b	394 ± 159 ^b	378 ± 259 ^b	198 ± 100 ^b	280 ± 45 ^b
	Relative (m·min ⁻¹)	36.8 ± 7.5	61.1 ± 19.8 ^b	76.1 ± 22.9 ^b	70.7 ± 25.6 ^b	72.3 ± 28.1 ^b	86.5 ± 3.4 ^b
MSR	Absolute (m)	15 ± 31	15 ± 22	18 ± 28	42 ± 39 ^b	27 ± 26	37 ± 6
	Relative (m·min ⁻¹)	0.6 ± 1.2	2.9 ± 5.5	4.5 ± 8.7 ^a	8.6 ± 9.3 ^b	14.7 ± 18.0 ^b	11.3 ± 0.6 ^b
HSR	Absolute (m)	1 ± 4	2 ± 6	3 ± 6	6 ± 10 ^a	3 ± 5	5 ± 7
	Relative (m·min ⁻¹)	0.0 ± 0.1	0.3 ± 1.0	0.5 ± 1.3	0.8 ± 1.2 ^a	1.9 ± 3.9 ^b	1.7 ± 2.4 ^a
SPR	Absolute (m)	0 ± 0	0 ± 0	0 ± 0	0 ± 0	0 ± 0	0 ± 0
	Relative (m·min ⁻¹)	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0
PL	Absolute (AU)	127.64 ± 24.10	38.54 ± 12.56 ^b	40.19 ± 19.29 ^b	42.50 ± 27.31 ^b	20.54 ± 9.26 ^b	30.27 ± 1.87 ^b
	Relative (AU·min ⁻¹)	4.88 ± 0.90	6.58 ± 2.79 ^b	7.54 ± 2.05 ^b	7.90 ± 2.77 ^b	7.82 ± 3.72 ^b	9.42 ± 0.55 ^b
Accdist	High (m)	2 ± 2	1 ± 1 ^a	2 ± 3	1 ± 4	1 ± 1	1 ± 0
	Moderate (m)	7 ± 4	3 ± 3 ^b	5 ± 4	6 ± 7	2 ± 1	7 ± 1
Decdist	High (m)	0 ± 0	0 ± 1	0 ± 1	1 ± 1 ^b	0 ± 0	0 ± 0
	Moderate (m)	1 ± 2	1 ± 2	2 ± 2	4 ± 4 ^b	1 ± 1	2 ± 1
#ACC	High (#)	2 ± 2	1 ± 1 ^b	2 ± 2	1 ± 2 ^b	0 ± 1 ^a	0 ± 0
	Moderate (#)	11 ± 6	3 ± 2 ^b	6 ± 4 ^b	4 ± 4 ^b	1 ± 1 ^b	3 ± 1 ^b
#DEC	High (#)	0 ± 1	0 ± 1	1 ± 1	1 ± 1 ^b	0 ± 1	1 ± 1
	Moderate (#)	3 ± 2	1 ± 2 ^a	2 ± 2	3 ± 3	1 ± 1 ^a	4 ± 2

Accdist: Acceleration distance, AU: Arbitrary units, Decdist: Deceleration distance, HSR: High-speed running, LSR: Low-speed running, MSR: Moderate-speed running, PL: Player Load, RWU: Rewarm-up, SPR: Sprinting, TD: Total Distance, #ACC: Number of accelerations, #DEC: Number of decelerations, ^a different from initial warm-up at p≤0.05 level, ^b different from initial warm-up at p≤0.001 level.

Table 3: Magnitude of change in physical performance variables for substitutes prior to pitch-entry

Variable		Initial warm-up (n=35)	RWU1 (n=34 first-half, n=1 second-half)	Half-time warm-up (n=27)	RWU2 (n=6 first-half, n=22 second-half)	RWU3 (n=1 first-half, n=7 second-half)	RWU4 (n=2 second-half,)	Time effects
Duration	(min)	REF	-19.74 (-20.92 to -18.55) ^b	-20.79 (-22.06 to -19.51) ^b	-20.30 (-21.56 to -19.04) ^b	-22.88 (-24.86 to -20.90) ^b	-22.86 (-26.56 to -19.15) ^b	-5.30 (-6.12 to -4.47)**
TD	Absolute (m)	REF	-606.46 (-692.96 to -519.95) ^b	-572.23 (-665.70 to -478.76) ^b	-565.32 (-657.26 to -473.38) ^b	-740.62 (-884.96 to -596.28) ^b	-642.28 (-914.96 to -369.60) ^b	-152.12 (-186.51 to -117.73)**
	Relative (m·min ⁻¹)	REF	26.44 (15.94 to 36.94) ^b	46.30 (34.97 to 57.63) ^b	42.69 (31.53 to 53.84) ^b	52.41 (34.95 to 69.88) ^b	68.88 (35.95 to 101.80) ^b	13.43 (10.31 to 6.55)**
LSR	Absolute (m)	REF	-594.51 (-674.55 to -514.48) ^b	-575.86 (-662.39 to -489.34) ^b	-586.62 (-671.70 to -501.53) ^b	-745.66 (-879.37 to -611.96) ^b	-669.70 (-922.48 to -416.92) ^b	-157.85 (-190.36 to -125.39)**
	Relative (m·min ⁻¹)	REF	24.33 (15.92 to 32.73) ^b	40.03 (30.75 to 49.32) ^b	33.86 (24.30 to 43.42) ^b	32.71 (16.79 to 48.63) ^b	55.87 (25.26 to 86.49) ^b	10.18 (7.34 to 13.02)**
MSR	Absolute (m)	REF	0.17 (-12.05 to 12.39)	5.69 (-7.53 to 18.92)	27.92 (14.91 to 40.92) ^b	16.89 (-3.61 to 37.40)	31.94 (-6.81 to 70.69)	7.59 (4.10 to 11.09)**
	Relative (m·min ⁻¹)	REF	2.36 (-0.57 to 5.29)	4.27 (0.87 to 7.66) ^a	8.02 (4.28 to 11.75) ^b	16.12 (9.79 to 22.45) ^b	20.48 (8.77 to 32.18) ^b	3.04 (1.93 to 4.14)**
HSR	Absolute (m)	REF	0.83 (-1.71 to 3.37)	1.67 (-1.40 to 4.74)	4.62 (1.06 to 8.18) ^a	5.76 (-0.18 to 11.71)	9.10 (-1.45 to 19.66)	1.44 (0.40 to 2.49)*
SPR	Relative (m·min ⁻¹)	REF	0.24 (-0.26 to 0.74)	0.39 (-0.20 to 0.98)	0.78 (0.12 to 1.44) ^a	2.38 (1.26 to 3.49) ^b	3.00 (0.96 to 5.03) ^a	0.35 (0.16 to 0.54)**
	Absolute (m)	REF	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
	Relative (m·min ⁻¹)	REF	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
PL	Absolute (AU)	REF	-89.10 (-97.81 to -80.39) ^b	-87.09 (-96.5 to -77.67) ^b	-84.89 (-94.14 to -75.63) ^b	-103.72 (-118.25 to -89.8) ^b	-94.20 (-121.66 to -66.73) ^b	-22.26 (-26.53 to -17.99)**
	Relative (AU·min ⁻¹)	REF	1.70 (0.74 to 2.66) ^b	2.89 (1.85 to 3.92) ^b	3.08 (2.06 to 4.10) ^b	3.23 (1.62 to 4.83) ^b	5.42 (2.39 to 8.44) ^b	0.95 (0.67 to 1.22)**
Accdist	High (m)	REF	-1.49 (-2.50 to -0.47) ^a	0.13 (-1.08 to 1.34)	-0.70 (-2.06 to 0.67)	-0.33 (-2.63 to 1.97)	-0.21 (-4.38 to 3.97)	n/a
	Moderate (m)	REF	-4.46 (-6.13 to -2.79) ^b	-1.78 (-3.83 to 0.27)	-1.16 (-3.57 to 1.26)	-2.65 (-6.65 to 1.35)	1.82 (-5.21 to 8.84)	n/a
	High (m)	REF	0.17 (-0.18 to 0.52)	0.25 (-0.16 to 0.65)	0.85 (0.41 to 1.30) ^b	0.50 (-0.26 to 1.25)	0.39 (-1.01 to 1.78)	0.21 (0.08 to 0.35)*
#ACC	Moderate (m)	REF	0.03 (-0.96 to 1.02)	0.50 (-0.66 to 1.65)	2.23 (0.95 to 3.52) ^b	0.15 (-2.02 to 2.33)	0.87 (-3.12 to 4.86)	0.51 (0.12 to 0.91)*
	High (IRR)	REF	0.32 (0.20 to 0.50) ^b	0.73 (0.50 to 1.06)	0.32 (0.19 to 0.52) ^b	0.18 (0.06 to 0.58) ^a	n/a	1.61 (1.54 to 1.69)**
#DEC	Moderate (IRR)	REF	0.24 (0.19 to 0.31) ^b	0.53 (0.43 to 0.65) ^b	0.41 (0.33 to 0.52) ^b	0.15 (0.08 to 0.26) ^b	0.21 (0.09 to 0.53) ^b	1.50 (1.42 to 1.58)**
	High (IRR)	REF	1.1 (0.47 to 2.59)	1.91 (0.85 to 4.32)	3.46 (1.69 to 7.16) ^b	1.23 (0.34 to 4.51)	1.92 (0.24 to 15.30)	2.30 (2.15 to 2.47)**
	Moderate (IRR)	REF	0.58 (0.41 to 0.82) ^a	0.86 (0.62 to 1.20)	1.09 (0.80 to 1.48)	0.27 (0.12 to 0.62) ^a	1.53 (0.69 to 3.41)	1.69 (1.62 to 1.77)**

Accdist:: Acceleration distance, AU: Arbitrary units, Decdist: Deceleration distance, HSR: High-speed running, LSR: Low-speed running, MSR: Moderate-speed running, PL: Player Load, REF: Reference category for comparison, RWU: Rewarm-up, SPR: Sprinting, TD: Total Distance, #ACC: Number of accelerations, #DEC: Number of decelerations, ^a different from initial warm-up at p≤0.05 level when 'bout' modelled as categorical, ^b different from initial warm-up at p≤0.001 level when 'bout' modelled as categorical, *: Significant effect at p≤0.05, **: Significant effect at p≤0.001. Data are reported as effect estimates (95% CI), except for #ACC and #DEC which are incidence risk ratios (IRR).

54 Tables 4 and 5 present activity profile data following substitutes' introduction into a match. Effect
55 estimates indicated significant declines in absolute and relative values for TD, MSR, HSR, and PL, as
56 well as decreases in $H_{ACCdist}$ and $M_{ACCdist}$ from 0-5 min onwards as a function of time. Notably,
57 38.6% reductions in relative HSR (-3.94, CI: -5.77 to -2.11 $m \cdot min^{-1}$), and 12.2% declines in both
58 relative TD (-14.58, CI: -20.70 to -8.46 $m \cdot min^{-1}$) and relative PL (-1.50, CI: -2.16 to -0.85 $AU \cdot min^{-1}$)
59 were observed from 0-5 min to 5-10 min. Moreover, 31.1% (-6.52, CI: -9.41 to -3.63 $m \cdot min^{-1}$) and
60 20.0% (-3.22, CI: -5.03 to -1.41 m) decrements occurred for relative MSR and $M_{ACCdist}$, respectively.

61 When compared with midfielders, attackers and defenders covered less TD (-41.22, CI: -63.68 to -
62 18.77 m, and -41.15, CI: -74.48 to -7.82 m), MSR (-14.57, CI: -26.49 to -2.64 m, and -28.36, CI: -
63 45.21 to -11.51 m), and performed fewer $\#H_{DEC}$ (IRR: 0.77, CI: 0.64 to 0.93, and 0.70, CI: 0.52 to
64 0.95) per five min epoch. Moreover, defenders covered less HSR (-19.95, CI: -33.21 to -6.70 m),
65 $M_{ACCdist}$ (-3.20, CI: -5.46 to -0.93 m), $H_{DECdist}$ (-1.88, CI: -3.02 to -0.75 m), and $M_{DECdist}$ (-3.62, CI:
66 -5.48 to -1.76 m), whilst attackers executed more $\#H_{ACC}$ (IRR: 1.25, CI: 1.04 to 1.50) than
67 midfielders. When the team was drawing or losing at the time of pitch-entry, players covered less TD
68 (-26.64, CI: -52.45 to -0.83 m, and -48.71, CI: -75.40 to -22.02 m), $H_{ACCdist}$ (-1.89, CI: -3.08 to -0.69
69 m, and -2.44, CI: -3.74 to -1.14 m), and $M_{DECdist}$ (-1.48, CI: -2.75 to -0.20 m, and -3.48, CI: -4.85 to -
70 2.10 m), in addition to performing fewer $\#H_{ACC}$ (IRR: 0.79, CI: 0.64 to 0.97, and 0.80, CI: 0.65 to
71 0.99) and $\#M_{DEC}$ (IRR: 0.82, CI: 0.67 to 0.99, and 0.69, CI: 0.56 to 0.84) per five min epoch,
72 compared with when the team was winning. Moreover, substitutes introduced when the scores were
73 level accumulated less LSR (-26.00, CI: -49.75 to -2.25 m), whilst players entering the pitch when the
74 reference team was losing performed less $M_{ACCdist}$ (-2.03, CI: -3.70 to -0.36 m), $H_{DECdist}$ (-1.83, CI: -
75 2.74 to -0.93 m), and fewer $\#H_{DEC}$ (IRR: 0.76, CI: 0.61 to 0.94) per five min epoch, alongside
76 returning lower PL values (-5.10, CI: -8.53 to -1.68 AU), when compared with when the team was
77 winning at the time of introduction.

Table 4: Descriptive statistics for physical performance variables for substitutes from timing of pitch-entry to the end of match-play

Variable		0-5 min (n=33)	5-10 min (n=32)	10-15 min (n=30)	15-20 min (n=26)	20-25 min (n=19)	25-30 min (n=11)	30-35 min (n=7)	35-40 min (n=4)
TD	Absolute (m)	599 ± 75	527 ± 66 ^b	527 ± 81 ^b	531 ± 59 ^b	527 ± 60 ^b	508 ± 72 ^b	507 ± 110 ^b	521 ± 56 ^a
	Relative (m·min ⁻¹)	120.0 ± 14.8	105.3 ± 13.3 ^b	105.6 ± 16.5 ^b	106.0 ± 11.5 ^b	105.2 ± 11.8 ^b	101.7 ± 14.5 ^b	101.4 ± 22.1 ^b	104.2 ± 11.2 ^a
LSR	Absolute (m)	438 ± 55	414 ± 49	414 ± 66	413 ± 7	402 ± 48 ^a	405 ± 51	425 ± 80	431 ± 58
	Relative (m·min ⁻¹)	87.6 ± 11.0	82.9 ± 9.8	82.8 ± 13.2	82.5 ± 9.3	80.5 ± 9.5 ^a	81.1 ± 10.3	84.9 ± 16.0	86.1 ± 11.5
MSR	Absolute (m)	105 ± 34	72 ± 27 ^b	78 ± 38 ^b	78 ± 29 ^b	84 ± 36 ^a	68 ± 33 ^b	58 ± 28 ^b	72 ± 29 ^a
	Relative (m·min ⁻¹)	20.9 ± 6.8	14.3 ± 5.4 ^b	15.5 ± 7.5 ^b	15.7 ± 5.8 ^b	16.8 ± 7.1 ^a	13.6 ± 6.6 ^b	11.5 ± 5.5 ^b	14.3 ± 5.8 ^a
HSR	Absolute (m)	51 ± 29	31 ± 22 ^b	28 ± 19 ^b	30 ± 20 ^b	36 ± 22 ^a	24 ± 18 ^b	20 ± 19 ^b	18 ± 14 ^b
	Relative (m·min ⁻¹)	10.1 ± 5.9	6.2 ± 4.5 ^b	5.7 ± 3.9 ^b	6.1 ± 4.0 ^b	7.1 ± 4.4 ^a	4.9 ± 3.6 ^b	3.9 ± 3.7 ^b	3.7 ± 2.8 ^b
SPR	Absolute (m)	6 ± 10	10 ± 15	7 ± 11	10 ± 12	5 ± 10	11 ± 14	5 ± 9	1 ± 2
	Relative (m·min ⁻¹)	1.3 ± 1.9	2.1 ± 3.1	1.4 ± 2.1	2.0 ± 2.5	1.1 ± 2.0	2.2 ± 2.8	1.1 ± 1.8	0.2 ± 0.3
PL	Absolute (AU)	61.21 ± 8.43	53.94 ± 6.80 ^b	52.78 ± 9.65 ^b	53.04 ± 8.17 ^b	52.90 ± 7.07 ^b	49.67 ± 6.28 ^b	46.31 ± 10.35 ^b	45.76 ± 10.38 ^b
	Relative (AU·min ⁻¹)	12.25 ± 1.67	10.77 ± 1.39 ^b	10.59 ± 1.94 ^b	10.59 ± 1.61 ^b	10.55 ± 1.41 ^b	9.93 ± 1.25 ^b	9.26 ± 2.07 ^b	9.15 ± 2.08 ^b
ACCdist	High (m)	8 ± 3	6 ± 3 ^a	5 ± 3 ^b	5 ± 3 ^b	7 ± 4	6 ± 2	3 ± 3 ^b	6 ± 4
	Moderate (m)	16 ± 5	13 ± 5 ^b	13 ± 4 ^b	13 ± 4 ^b	14 ± 5 ^a	13 ± 4 ^a	10 ± 2 ^b	11 ± 2 ^a
DECdist	High (m)	5 ± 2	4 ± 3 ^a	3 ± 1 ^a	4 ± 3	5 ± 3	4 ± 1 ^a	3 ± 2 ^a	3 ± 3 ^a
	Moderate (m)	10 ± 4	8 ± 5	8 ± 3 ^a	8 ± 3	8 ± 4	8 ± 3	7 ± 4	7 ± 4
#ACC	High (#)	3 ± 2	3 ± 2	3 ± 2	2 ± 1 ^a	3 ± 2	2 ± 1	2 ± 1	3 ± 2
	Moderate (#)	10 ± 4	9 ± 4	9 ± 4	9 ± 4	9 ± 3	8 ± 5	9 ± 3	9 ± 2
#DEC	High (#)	4 ± 2	3 ± 2 ^a	3 ± 2 ^a	2 ± 2 ^a	3 ± 2	3 ± 1	2 ± 3 ^a	2 ± 2
	Moderate (#)	5 ± 2	5 ± 3	5 ± 3	5 ± 2	4 ± 2	5 ± 3	4 ± 2	4 ± 2

ACCdist: Acceleration distance, AU: Arbitrary units, DECdist: Deceleration distance, HSR: High-speed running, LSR: Low-speed running, MSR: Moderate-speed running, PL: Player Load, TD: Sprinting, Total Distance, #ACC: Number of accelerations, #DEC: Number of decelerations, ^a different from 0-5 min at p≤0.05 level, ^b different from 0-5 min at p≤0.001 level.

Table 5: Magnitude of change in physical performance variables for substitutes from timing of pitch-entry to the end of match-play

Variable		0-5 min (n=33)	5-10 min (n=32)	10-15 min (n=30)	15-20 min (n=26)	20-25 min (n=19)	25-30 min (n=11)	30-35 min (n=7)	35-40 min (n=4)	Time effects	Position effects	Scoreline effects
TD	Absolute (m)	REF	-71.76 (-102.23 to -41.31) ^b	-73.29 (-104.32 to -42.27) ^b	-66.61 (-98.96 to -34.26) ^b	-71.39 (-107.16 to -35.61) ^b	-91.22 (-134.72 to -47.70) ^b	-92.98 (-145.39 to -40.57) ^b	-84.91 (-151.78 to -18.04) ^a	-11.30 (-16.97 to -5.63) ^{**}	MID>ATT** MID>DEF*	WI>DR* WI>LO**
	Relative (m·min ⁻¹)	REF	-14.58 (-20.70 to -8.46) ^b	-14.40 (-20.64 to -8.17) ^b	-13.62 (-20.12 to -7.12) ^b	-14.46 (-21.65 to -7.28) ^b	-18.26 (-27.01 to -9.51) ^b	-18.64 (-29.17 to -8.11) ^b	-16.45 (-29.90 to -3.00) ^a	-2.28 (-3.14 to -1.14) ^{**}	MID>ATT** MID>DEF*	WI>DR* WI>LO**
LSR	Absolute (m)	REF	-23.31 (-47.66 to 1.04)	-23.20 (-48.02 to 1.62)	-23.14 (-49.05 to 3.10)	-35.58 (-64.25 to -6.93) ^a	-31.80 (-66.70 to 3.10)	-16.07 (-58.11 to 25.97)	-16.07 (-69.20 to 38.04)	n/a	MID>ATT*	WI>DR*
	Relative (m·min ⁻¹)	REF	-4.59 (-9.36 to 0.16)	-4.63 (-9.48 to 0.21)	-4.75 (-9.81 to 0.30)	-7.05 (-12.65 to -1.46) ^a	-6.14 (-12.95 to 0.67)	-3.06 (-11.26 to 5.14)	-2.44 (-12.92 to 8.04)	n/a	MID>ATT*	WI>DR*
MSR	Absolute (m)	REF	-32.61 (-47.06 to -18.16) ^b	-27.30 (-41.99 to -12.59) ^b	-26.31 (-41.60 to -11.03) ^b	-21.05 (-37.87 to -4.21) ^a	-38.47 (-58.82 to -18.12) ^b	-49.65 (-74.12 to -25.18) ^b	-34.21 (-65.41 to -3.01) ^a	-4.37 (-7.01 to -1.74) ^{**}	MID>ATT* MID>DEF*	n/a
	Relative (m·min ⁻¹)	REF	-6.52 (-9.41 to -3.63) ^b	-5.45 (-8.40 to -2.52) ^b	-5.26 (-8.32 to -2.21) ^b	-4.21 (-7.58 to -0.84) ^a	-7.69 (-11.76 to -3.62) ^b	-9.93 (-14.82 to -5.04) ^b	-6.84 (-13.08 to -0.60) ^a	-4.37 (-7.01 to -1.74) ^{**}	MID>ATT* MID>DEF**	n/a
HSR	Absolute (m)	REF	-19.70 (-28.85 to -10.56) ^b	-23.23 (-32.55 to -13.91) ^b	-21.03 (-30.75 to -11.30) ^b	-14.30 (-25.06 to -3.55) ^a	-25.50 (-38.59 to -12.41) ^b	-29.20 (-44.97 to -13.42) ^b	-33.23 (-53.33 to -13.12) ^b	-3.38 (-5.10 to -1.65) ^{**}	MID>DEF*	n/a
	Relative (m·min ⁻¹)	REF	-3.94 (-5.77 to -2.11) ^b	-4.65 (-6.51 to -2.78) ^b	-4.21 (-6.15 to -2.26) ^b	-2.86 (-5.01 to -0.71) ^a	-5.10 (-7.72 to -2.48) ^b	-5.84 (-8.99 to -2.68) ^b	-6.65 (-10.66 to -2.62) ^b	-0.68 (-1.02 to -0.33) ^{**}	MID>DEF*	n/a
SPR	Absolute (m)	REF	3.83 (-1.38 to 9.04)	0.30 (-5.01 to 5.61)	3.63 (-1.91 to 9.17)	-1.04 (-7.17 to 5.08)	3.96 (-3.51 to 11.43)	-0.19 (-9.18 to 8.80)	-4.15 (-15.64 to 7.33)	n/a	n/a	n/a
	Relative (m·min ⁻¹)	REF	0.77 (-0.28 to 1.81)	0.06 (-1.00 to 1.81)	0.73 (-0.38 to 1.83)	-0.21 (-1.43 to 1.02)	0.79 (-0.70 to 2.29)	-0.04 (-1.84 to 1.76)	-0.83 (-3.13 to 1.47)	n/a	n/a	n/a
PL	Absolute (AU)	REF	-7.38 (-10.65 to -4.12) ^b	-8.49 (-11.83 to -5.16) ^b	-7.97 (-11.45 to -4.49) ^b	-7.60 (-11.45 to -3.74) ^b	-10.61 (-15.31 to -5.91) ^b	-12.56 (-18.23 to -6.89) ^b	-13.46 (-20.68 to -6.23) ^b	-1.67 (-2.29 to -1.06) ^{**}	n/a	WI>LO*
	Relative (AU·min ⁻¹)	REF	-1.50 (-2.16 to -0.85) ^b	-1.68 (-2.35 to 1.01) ^b	-1.62 (-2.32 to -0.92) ^b	-1.55 (-2.33 to -0.78) ^b	-2.13 (-3.08 to -1.19) ^b	-2.53 (-3.66 to -1.39) ^b	-2.70 (-4.15 to -1.25) ^b	-0.34 (-0.46 to -0.21) ^{**}	n/a	WI>LO*
Accdist	High (m)	REF	-1.33 (-2.68 to 0.00) ^a	-2.48 (-3.85 to -1.11) ^b	-3.05 (-4.47 to -1.62) ^b	-0.61 (-2.19 to 0.96)	-1.54 (-3.46 to 0.37)	-4.03 (-6.34 to -1.73) ^b	-1.60 (-4.53 to 1.33)	-0.27 (-0.53 to -0.02) [*]	n/a	WI>DR* WI>LO**

#DECdist	Moderate (m)	REF	-3.22 (-5.03 to -1.41) ^b	-3.62 (-5.47 to -1.77) ^b	-3.59 (-5.52 to -1.67) ^b	-2.54 (-4.67 to -0.42) ^a	-3.80 (-6.37 to -1.22) ^a	-5.57 (-8.67 to -2.47) ^b	-4.74 (-8.69 to -0.80) ^a	-0.55 (-0.88 to -0.22) ^{**}	MID>DEF*	WI>LO*
	High (m)	REF	-1.24 (-2.29 to -0.18) ^a	-1.84 (-2.91 to -0.76) ^a	-0.93 (-2.05 to 0.19)	-0.30 (-1.54 to 0.94)	-1.75 (-3.26 to -0.24) ^a	-2.17 (-3.98 to -0.35) ^a	-2.40 (-4.72 to -0.08) ^a	n/a	MID>DEF**	WI>LO**
#ACC	Moderate (m)	REF	-1.39 (-2.89 to 0.11)	-1.56 (-3.09 to -0.03) ^a	-0.93 (-2.53 to 0.66)	-0.53 (-2.30 to 1.24)	-1.40 (-3.57 to 0.76)	-2.47 (-5.35 to 0.14)	-2.02 (-5.35 to 1.31)	n/a	MID>DEF**	WI>DR* WI>LO**
	High (IRR)	REF	0.98 (0.74 to 1.29)	0.87 (0.65 to 1.16)	0.66 (0.47 to 0.92) ^a	1.11 (0.81 to 1.52)	0.74 (0.48 to 1.15)	1.01 (0.54 to 1.89)	0.76 (0.56 to 1.02)	n/a	MID<ATT*	WI>DR* WI>LO*
#DEC	Moderate (IRR)	REF	0.94 (0.80 to 1.10)	0.88 (0.74 to 1.04)	0.88 (0.74 to 1.05)	0.88 (0.73 to 1.06)	0.83 (0.66 to 1.06)	0.98 (0.74 to 1.30)	0.96 (0.67 to 1.39)	n/a	n/a	n/a
	High (IRR)	REF	0.70 (0.53 to 0.92) ^a	0.69 (0.52 to 0.92) ^a	0.66 (0.49 to 0.89) ^a	0.90 (0.67 to 1.22)	0.68 (0.45 to 1.03)	0.54 (0.31 to 0.93) ^a	0.57 (0.29 to 1.13)	n/a	MID>ATT* MID>DEF*	WI>LO*
	Moderate (IRR)	REF	0.95 (0.75 to 1.19)	1.05 (0.84 to 1.31)	1.01 (0.80 to 1.29)	0.91 (0.69 to 1.19)	1.06 (0.78 to 1.45)	0.75 (0.48 to 1.15)	0.78 (0.46 to 1.36)	n/a	n/a	WI>DR* WI>LO**

ACCdist: Acceleration distance, ATT = Attacker, AU: Arbitrary units, DECdist: Deceleration distance, DEF = Defender, DR: Scores level at the time of pitch-entry, HSR: High-speed running, LO: Team losing at the time of pitch-entry, LSR: Low-speed running, MID: Midfielder, MSR: Moderate-speed running, PL: Player Load, REF: Reference category for comparison, SPR: Sprinting, TD: Total Distance, WI: Team winning at the time of pitch-entry, #ACC: Number of accelerations, #DEC: Number of decelerations, ^a different from 0-5 min at p≤0.05 level when 'epoch' modelled as categorical, ^b different from 0-5 min at p≤0.001 level when 'epoch' modelled as categorical, *: Significant effect at p≤0.05, **: Significant effect at p≤0.001. Data are reported as effect estimates (95% CI) except for #ACC and #DEC, which are incidence risk ratios (IRR).

78 **Discussion**

79 The aim of this study was to investigate the match-day physical demands experienced by English
80 Championship soccer substitutes before and after pitch-entry. Prior to introduction into a match,
81 substitutes performed 3 ± 1 rewarm-up bouts $\cdot \text{player}^{-1} \cdot \text{match}^{-1}$, with rewarm-ups becoming shorter and
82 more intense (i.e., increasing relative TD, LSR, MSR, and HSR $\cdot \text{bout}^{-1}$) as pitch-entry approached.
83 Following introduction, time negatively influenced absolute and relative TD, MSR, HSR, and PL, as
84 well as H_{ACCdist} , and M_{ACCdist} . Playing position and match scoreline also influenced the movement
85 profiles observed, with the greatest match-distances being covered by midfielders (i.e., compared with
86 attackers and defenders), and on occasions when the team was winning at the time of introduction
87 (i.e., compared with when drawing or losing). Such data provide novel insights into transient changes
88 in the match-day movement demands experienced by substitutes from a professional soccer club and
89 highlight important future research opportunities, findings of which have the potential to positively
90 influence practitioners seeking to optimise the match-day strategies for this bespoke population of
91 soccer players.

92 Substitutes covered $\sim 37.9 \text{ m} \cdot \text{min}^{-1}$ during their initial warm-up, of which 97% was LSR (Table 2), and
93 then performed ~ 3 subsequent rewarm-ups prior to pitch-entry. Acknowledging that other non-pitch-
94 based actions may also have occurred, this study provides potentially important observations
95 regarding the frequency and/or intensity (HSR: $0\text{-}2 \text{ m} \cdot \text{min}^{-1}$ during each bout) of pre-entry activities in
96 professional soccer players. Whilst the absence of a comparator trial limits our ability to comment on
97 the suitability of this pattern of activity for subsequent match-play, increasing the intensity of warm-
98 up exercise from 300 m of striding (6 x 50 m) to an equidistant bout of combined striding (100 m) and
99 race-pace running (200 m) has been shown to enhance subsequent 800 m running performance by
100 $\sim 1\%$ [20]. Moreover, a positive relationship exists between body temperature increases and
101 performance in tasks requiring high-velocity muscle actions, with improvements of $\sim 2\text{-}10\%$ being
102 reported for every 1°C increase [21]. Rapid declines in core (T_{core}) and muscle (T_{m}) temperature
103 alongside concomitant reductions in physical performance occur following the cessation of exercise,

104 with body temperature returning to baseline within 15-20 min in ambient conditions (10-30°C: [22,
105 23]). Indeed, better maintenance of body temperature and improved physical performance capacity
106 has been demonstrated when rewarm-up activity (e.g., low and medium-intensity aerobic exercise,
107 intermittent agility exercise, resistance exercise, whole-body vibration etc.) is performed during a ~15
108 min half-time interval, when compared with the responses observed following the equivalent period
109 of passive rest [24-29]. Moreover, consistent with observations that increasing warm-up intensity may
110 be beneficial for subsequent high-intensity exercise performance [20], where ≥ 15 min separates an
111 initial warm-up and entry into a match, active rewarm-ups consisting of brief high-intensity efforts
112 (~2 min at ~90% HR_{max}) may better maintain subsequent performance in explosive tasks relative to
113 passive rest [22]. Substitutes in the current study covered ~ 29.1 $m \cdot min^{-1}$ (including ~ 0.4 $m \cdot min^{-1}$ of
114 HSR) during the 15 min prior to pitch entry but notably no sprinting occurred in either the initial
115 warm-up or subsequent rewarm-ups (Table 2). Whilst the efficacy of this pre pitch-entry strategy as a
116 means of preparing for subsequent performance remains to be determined, combining modified
117 rewarm-up strategies with passive heat maintenance techniques (e.g., wearing specialist heat-retaining
118 garments) could further assist in preservation of body temperature and optimised performance
119 thereafter (i.e., before pitch-entry: [22, 23, 26, 27]).

120 Given the time-frames involved, and the desire to maintain energy stores, it may be suggested that
121 optimising rewarm-up strategies is of greater importance to substitutes than is the initial warm-up. In
122 English soccer, coaches are not permitted to leave the 'technical area' whilst the match is underway,
123 therefore the content and intensity of rewarm-ups is likely determined primarily by the players
124 themselves in the absence of a practiced routine. As superior outcomes are reported as a result of
125 coach-supervised versus unsupervised training [30], such regulations may negatively impact upon the
126 quality of preparatory activity undertaken. Indeed, empirical evidence highlighted that events
127 unfolding on the pitch (such as the proximity of match-play to the rewarm-up area) directly influenced
128 the activities being performed by substitutes. Interestingly, although the scoreline at the point of pitch-
129 entry does not necessarily reflect the scoreline at the time of any given pre-entry rewarm-up, players
130 performed more HSR per rewarm-up bout (+3.17 m) when the team was losing at the time of

131 introduction, than when compared with being ahead. Whilst the adequacy of the pre pitch-entry
132 regimes of the players sampled remains to be determined, it is plausible that more structured rewarm-
133 up protocols, the presence of additional personnel (e.g., coaching staff), and/or the provision of larger
134 rewarm-up spaces (that may facilitate sprinting) and/or equipment may influence the preparatory
135 actions undertaken before pitch-entry, thus affecting on-pitch performance thereafter. Notably, in
136 addition to allowing a fourth substitution to be made in matches progressing to extra-time, regulations
137 at the 2018 Fédération Internationale de Football Association (FIFA) World Cup finals permitted up
138 to six substitutes at a time to be accompanied by two coaches in a designated rewarm-up area behind
139 the goalposts [31].

140 Observations of decreases in relative running distances following the substitutes' initial 5 min of
141 match-play (Tables 4 and 5) contradict previous reports of a trend towards increasing TD and HSR for
142 English Premier League substitutes as a match progressed [3]. Indeed, our findings better aligned with
143 suggestions that whilst starting players may adopt a 'slow-positive' pacing strategy in which they
144 conserve energy in an effort to minimise the magnitude of performance decrements over the course of
145 90 min, the shorter playing duration and desire to make an impact on the match, means that substitutes
146 may favour an 'all-out' approach; initially performing at an unsustainable high intensity, followed by
147 an inevitable reduction [32]. Whilst discrepancies may appear to exist, the study in the English
148 Premier League [3] analysed data according to five min match-epochs which were fixed relative to the
149 time of kick-off as opposed to the timing of pitch-entry. This approach may have underestimated the
150 initial demands via omission of data collected in the stages of match-play immediately post-entry.

151 Relative TD (-12.2%) and HSR (-38.6%) declined substantially between 0-5 min and 5-10 min, but
152 values for the next four epochs (i.e., 5-25 min post-entry) remained within $1 \text{ m}\cdot\text{min}^{-1}$ of each other.
153 Speculatively, this performance profile indicates that mechanisms other than either progressive or
154 transient fatigue may explain the findings. The initial ~15 min of soccer match-play elicits the highest
155 intensity in terms of movement demands [3, 6], and these data suggest that such heightened responses
156 may be specific to the timing of match-introduction for any given individual as opposed to the
157 proximity to kick-off per se. Alternatively, players' own concerns surrounding the lack of opportunity

158 and motivation to prepare for match-play when selected as substitutes [4, 33], highlight that the higher
159 exercise intensity adopted during the 0-5 min following introduction may partially represent an effort,
160 consciously or subconsciously, to account for perceived inadequacies in pre-entry preparation by
161 ‘warming-up’ (i.e., eliciting the physiological responses desired from a pre-performance warm-up)
162 having already entered the field of play. Unfortunately, it cannot be determined whether the
163 movement responses observed immediately following introduction reflected positive match-
164 contributions, or simply a heightened period of activity that did not enhance team performance.
165 Moreover, as discrete five min epochs were employed in this study, albeit normalised on an individual
166 basis to pitch-entry rather than kick-off, it was not possible to determine the exact time-course of this
167 transient increase in running intensity.

168 As is the case for whole-match players, replacement midfielders covered greater relative distances
169 than players in other positions [6, 12, 34], and the $\sim 101\text{-}120\text{ m}\cdot\text{min}^{-1}$ covered following introduction
170 broadly parallels values ($\sim 120\text{ m}\cdot\text{min}^{-1}$) reported for substitutes in the English Premier League [3].
171 Moreover, relative HSR distance ($\sim 4\text{-}10\text{ m}\cdot\text{min}^{-1}$) also reflected these previous data [3]. However, the
172 mean running demands reported by Bradley et al. [3] correspond approximately to the values
173 observed for 0-5 min in the current study, with values for subsequent epochs showing marked
174 declines in comparison (TD: 101–106, HSR: 4–7 $\text{m}\cdot\text{min}^{-1}$). Such discrepancies may be attributable to
175 differences in match demands between playing-levels [6], inconsistent methodologies between
176 studies, varying degrees of pre-match preparation, or the influence of situational factors such as the
177 playing ‘style’ of the reference team and/or opposition [14]. Indeed, the potential influence of
178 contextual factors is highlighted in the current study by the differing movement demands according to
179 the match scoreline at the time of pitch-entry. Such responses may reflect changes in the ‘momentum’
180 of a match, tactical or strategic objectives (e.g., playing ‘style’), and/or the relative quality of the
181 reference team and their opponents [14].

182 Acknowledging that the present data are derived from one team during one season, and that MEMS
183 may be incapable of detecting every aspect of substitutes’ individualised pre-entry preparation (e.g.,
184 less dynamic activities such as stretching, cycling etc.), the movement profiles observed in this study

185 highlight a number of avenues for further exploration; the findings of which may aid practitioners
186 seeking to optimise match-day preparatory strategies. Indeed, research into the efficacy of pre pitch-
187 entry interventions for substitute players, such as modifying active rewarm-up practices and/or
188 employing passive heat maintenance techniques whilst awaiting introduction, alongside consideration
189 of nutritional strategies (e.g., consuming caffeinated chewing gum), is warranted. The pre-
190 performance period has also been identified as an opportunity to enhance hormonal and psychological
191 responses [21, 35]. Self-motivation may enhance subsequent performance [21], and watching video
192 footage of players' own previous success has been associated with elevated free testosterone
193 concentrations and improved measures of overall match-performance thereafter [35]. Such
194 observations highlight a potential role for strategies which may positively contribute to manipulating
195 the environment in which substitutes await pitch-entry.

196 The execution of technical and/or tactical skills is an important component of soccer performance [10,
197 36], and tactical motivations may underpin a large proportion of substitutions made [4]. In addition to
198 the lack of technical/tactical information, MEMS indices alone cannot quantify substitutes' overall
199 contribution to a match. Alongside key physiological measurements such as body temperature
200 responses, research combining MEMS data with analysis of the match-consequences of any periods of
201 heightened activity may allow further commentary on the potential reasons underlying the movement
202 patterns observed following pitch-entry. Moreover, future research should analyse substitutes' match
203 performance with reference to their tactical impact (e.g., changing team formation or 'style'), whilst
204 noting the precise reasons for their introduction. All of the substitutes in the current study were
205 introduced to play in their preferred tactical position. However, given the differences in match
206 demands experienced by different playing positions, it is plausible that the responses may differ in
207 instances where a player is required to adopt an unfamiliar tactical role. Finally, given that soccer
208 teams may adopt a 'rotation policy' in which the use of substitutes represents an attempt to minimise
209 the accumulation of fatigue across a competition period [4], investigation into the magnitude of the
210 post-match fatigue response for substitute players, who face unique match-day demands, may aid
211 practitioners in tailoring training and/or recovery strategies.

212 **Conclusions**

213 Acknowledging that these observations are based upon one team, and that other soccer clubs may
214 adopt different pre-entry practices, substitutes in the current study performed ~3 bouts of rewarm-up
215 activity prior to entering the pitch, with increases in relative TD and PL, but decreasing rewarm-up
216 duration as pitch-entry approached. Considering existing recommendations for the structure of warm-
217 ups in team sports [22], alongside the time-course of body temperature changes [21, 23, 27], further
218 research is required to examine whether the observed strategies are adequate to prepare players for
219 optimal performance upon pitch-entry. Such investigations may be conducted through the use of
220 soccer simulation protocols, which allow assessment of physiological and performance responses
221 without the confounding influence of many of the situational variables inherent in soccer match-play
222 [37]. Although substitutes performed at substantially higher intensities during the 0-5 min following
223 introduction compared with 5-10 min, the underlying reasons and match-consequences of the
224 observed responses remain unclear.

225

226 **Acknowledgements**

227 The authors would like to thank the players and staff at Hull City Tigers Football Club for their
228 cooperation and participation in this study.

229

References

- 230 1. Association FIdF. Fifa laws of the game Zurich, Switzerland 2017/2018 [cited 2018 12/02/18].
231 Available from:
232 [http://resources.fifa.com/mm/document/footballdevelopment/refereeing/02/90/11/67/lawsofthegame2](http://resources.fifa.com/mm/document/footballdevelopment/refereeing/02/90/11/67/lawsofthegame2017-2018-en_neutral.pdf)
233 [017-2018-en_neutral.pdf](http://resources.fifa.com/mm/document/footballdevelopment/refereeing/02/90/11/67/lawsofthegame2017-2018-en_neutral.pdf)
234 2. EFL. Efl regulations 2018 [cited 2018 08/08/2018]. Available from: [https://www.efl.com/-](https://www.efl.com/-more/governance/efl-rules--regulations/)
235 [more/governance/efl-rules--regulations/](https://www.efl.com/-more/governance/efl-rules--regulations/).
236 3. Bradley PS, Lago-Peñas C, Rey E. Evaluation of the match performances of substitution
237 players in elite soccer. *Int J Sports Physiol Perform.* 2014;9:415-24.

- 238 4. Hills SP, Barwood MJ, Radcliffe JN, Cooke CB, Kilduff LP, Cook CJ, et al. Profiling the
239 responses of soccer substitutes: A review of current literature. *Sports Med.* 2018;48:1-15.
- 240 5. Del Corral J, Barros CP, Prieto-Rodriguez J. The determinants of soccer player substitutions:
241 A survival analysis of the spanish soccer league. *J Sports Econom.* 2008;9:160-72.
- 242 6. Mohr M, Krstrup P, Bangsbo J. Match performance of high-standard soccer players with
243 special reference to development of fatigue. *J Sports Sci.* 2003;21:519-28.
- 244 7. Russell M, Benton D, Kingsley M. Influence of carbohydrate supplementation on skill
245 performance during a soccer match simulation. *J Sci Med Sport.* 2012;15:348-54.
- 246 8. Russell M, Benton D, Kingsley M. The effects of fatigue on soccer skills performed during a
247 soccer match simulation. *Int J Sports Physiol Perform.* 2011;6:221-33.
- 248 9. Harper LD, Briggs MA, McNamee G, West DJ, Kilduff LP, Stevenson E, et al. Physiological
249 and performance effects of carbohydrate gels consumed prior to the extra-time period of prolonged
250 simulated soccer match-play. *J Sci Med Sport.* 2016;19:509-14.
- 251 10. Harper LD, West DJ, Stevenson E, Russell M. Technical performance reduces during the
252 extra-time period of professional soccer match-play. *PloS one.* 2014;9:e110995.
- 253 11. Stevenson EJ, Watson A, Theis S, Holz A, Harper LD, Russell M. A comparison of
254 isomaltulose versus maltodextrin ingestion during soccer-specific exercise. *Eur J App Physiol.*
255 2017;117:1-13.
- 256 12. Di Salvo V, Gregson W, Atkinson G, Tordoff P, Drust B. Analysis of high intensity activity
257 in premier league soccer. *Int J Sports Med.* 2009;30:205-12.
- 258 13. Carling C, Espié V, Le Gall F, Bloomfield J, Jullien H. Work-rate of substitutes in elite
259 soccer: A preliminary study. *J Sci Med Sport.* 2010;13:253-5.
- 260 14. Bradley PS, Noakes TD. Match running performance fluctuations in elite soccer: Indicative of
261 fatigue, pacing or situational influences? *J Sports Sci.* 2013;31:1627-38.
- 262 15. Varley MC, Fairweather IH, Aughey RJ. Validity and reliability of gps for measuring
263 instantaneous velocity during acceleration, deceleration, and constant motion. *J Sports Sci.*
264 2012;30:121-7.
- 265 16. Roe G, Darrall-Jones J, Black C, Shaw W, Till K, Jones B. Validity of 10-hz gps and timing
266 gates for assessing maximum velocity in professional rugby union players. *Int J Sports Physiol*
267 *Perform.* 2017;12:836-9.
- 268 17. Johnston RJ, Watsford ML, Kelly SJ, Pine MJ, Spurrs RW. Validity and interunit reliability
269 of 10 hz and 15 hz gps units for assessing athlete movement demands. *J Strength Cond Res.*
270 2014;28:1649-55.
- 271 18. Batterham AM, Hopkins WG. Making meaningful inferences about magnitudes. *Int J Sports*
272 *Physiol Perform.* 2006;1:50-7.
- 273 19. Boyd LJ, Ball K, Aughey RJ. The reliability of minimaxx accelerometers for measuring
274 physical activity in australian football. *Int J Sports Physiol Perform.* 2011;6:311-21.
- 275 20. Ingham SA, Fudge BW, Pringle JS, Jones AM. Improvement of 800-m running performance
276 with prior high-intensity exercise. *Int J Sports Physiol Perform.* 2013;8:77-83.
- 277 21. McGowan CJ, Pyne DB, Thompson KG, Rattray B. Warm-up strategies for sport and
278 exercise: Mechanisms and applications. *Sports Med.* 2015;45:1523-46.
- 279 22. Silva LM, Neiva HP, Marques MC, Izquierdo M, Marinho DA. Effects of warm-up, post-
280 warm-up, and re-warm-up strategies on explosive efforts in team sports: A systematic review. *Sports*
281 *Med.* 2018:1-15. doi: 10.1007/s40279-018-0958-5.
- 282 23. Russell M, West DJ, Briggs MA, Bracken RM, Cook CJ, Giroud T, et al. A passive heat
283 maintenance strategy implemented during a simulated half-time improves lower body power output
284 and repeated sprint ability in professional rugby union players. *PloS one.* 2015;10:e0119374.
- 285 24. Edholm P, Krstrup P, Randers MB. Half-time re-warm up increases performance capacity in
286 male elite soccer players. *Scand J Med Sci Sports.* 2015;25:e40-e9.
- 287 25. Lovell R, Midgley A, Barrett S, Carter D, Small K. Effects of different half-time strategies on
288 second half soccer-specific speed, power and dynamic strength. *Scand J Med Sci Sports.*
289 2013;23:105-13.
- 290 26. Russell M, West D, Harper L, Cook C, Kilduff L. Half-time strategies to enhance second-half
291 performance in team-sports players: A review and recommendations. *Sports Med.* 2015;45:353-64.

- 292 27. Russell M, Tucker R, Cook CJ, Giroud T, Kilduff LP. A comparison of different heat
293 maintenance methods implemented during a simulated half-time period in professional rugby union
294 players. *J Sci Med Sport*. 2017;21:327-32.
- 295 28. Mohr M, Kurstrup P, Nybo L, Nielsen JJ, Bangsbo J. Muscle temperature and sprint
296 performance during soccer matches – beneficial effect of re-warm-up at half-time. *Scand J Med Sci*
297 *Sport*. 2004;14:156-62.
- 298 29. Zois J, Bishop D, Fairweather I, Ball K, Aughey RJ. High-intensity re-warm-ups enhance
299 soccer performance. *Int J Sports Med*. 2013;34:800-5.
- 300 30. Mazzetti SA, Kraemer WJ, Volek JS, Duncan ND, Ratamess NA, Gómez AL, et al. The
301 influence of direct supervision of resistance training on strength performance. *Med Sci Sport Exerc*.
302 2000;32:1175-84.
- 303 31. Association FIdF. Regulations; 2018 fifa world cup russia 2018 [cited 2018 09/08/2018].
304 Available from: [https://resources.fifa.com/image/upload/2018-fifa-world-cup-russiatm-regulations-
305 2843519.pdf?cloudid=ejmfg94ac7hypl9zmsys](https://resources.fifa.com/image/upload/2018-fifa-world-cup-russiatm-regulations-2843519.pdf?cloudid=ejmfg94ac7hypl9zmsys).
- 306 32. Waldron M, Highton J. Fatigue and pacing in high-intensity intermittent team sport: An
307 update. *Sports Med*. 2014;44:1645-58.
- 308 33. Woods B, Thatcher J. A qualitative exploration of substitutes' experiences in soccer. *Sport*
309 *Psychol*. 2009;23:451-69.
- 310 34. Di Salvo V, Baron R, Tschan H, Montero FC, Bachl N, Pigozzi F. Performance
311 characteristics according to playing position in elite soccer. *Int J Sports Med*. 2007;28:222-7.
- 312 35. Cook CJ, Crewther BT. The effects of different pre-game motivational interventions on
313 athlete free hormonal state and subsequent performance in professional rugby union matches. *Physiol*
314 *Behav*. 2012;106:683-8.
- 315 36. Folgado H, Duarte R, Marques P, Sampaio J. The effects of congested fixtures period on
316 tactical and physical performance in elite football. *J Sports Sci*. 2015;33:1238-47.
- 317 37. Russell M, Rees G, Benton D, Kingsley M. An exercise protocol that replicates soccer match-
318 play. *Int J Sports Med*. 2011;32:511-8.